Enrollment ManagemenCommittee 1:00pm - 3:00pm on May 20, 2021 Zoom <u>https://ccsf-edu.zoom.us/j/999899852</u>03

APPROVED/INUTES

updated. His expectation is that updated
instructional budgets will be published tomorrow.
x May 24 ^h is the first day of egistration for Fall 2021.
x Joe Reyes read a statement from the Dxpecific
to instructional budgets. (See attachments to the
minutes)
x VC Boegel's response is that there might be a
slight misunderstanding in what is included in the
AFT TA. It sounded like there was an assumption
that the TA included restoration of specially
instructional workload for some departments where their Feb budgets implied that a cohort
would not start in Spring 2022. The said that is not
true. The TA does not have any language about
cohorts; it only speaks to a single instructional
budget number.
x Members asked how classes will be added back
into the schedule. Should departments have
requested more?
x LALS would like to see a spreadsheet that shows
FTES/FTEF ratios. VC Boegel said that data is on
the instructional budget spreadsheet. (CHECK) He
said that the EMC could make a recommendation
that FTEF restoration could not be beyond the
previous academic year's budget.
 x Discussion: Carolelnclear how VC Boegel set the instructional budgets and this makes it difficult to
make a recommendation.
x VCBoegel said that he is open to
recommendations from the EMC.
x Wynd: February [®] Schedule: VC Boegel came up
with this as doest-casescenario for instructional
budgets for fall 2021. It built in some concessions
from AFT. The augmented May schedule, based
on no concessions. Now there is a third with
augmentations that is a little bit above what Tom
wants. The fourth one is what action the EMC wants. The agreement with AFT is predicated on
520 FTEF for fall and spring. The woraste
scenario was based onaintaining all programs.
x VC Boegel said there are two different ways to
look at the augmented schedule. We could look at
it like the schedule needs to be modified/cut to
520 or it could be looked at in terms of what could
be added.
x Steven Brown: Obsertian that we had a great
plan for cutting but we don't have a plan for
putting classes back into a cut schedule. The MYBP
that was put forth was not complete and did not describe finishing programs. Proposal to look at all
of the programs that CCSF offers and if we cannot
afford those programs, we need to be reducing in
a way that is not so harmful especially in light of
the lay-off notices.

		 mistake when demand returns. In a few years w will turn away students who want iperson. x Abigail: Is not seeing the full representation of CTE in the document especially for 9 or mount certificates. x The Budgeting and Schedule Development Process document will be agendized for the first Fall 2021 meeting.
5.	Student Support Strategies Ad Hoc Committee Update	 x Lisa shared a document that includes each of th working groups and their SMART goals. It aligns the work of this group and how it aligns with other work that is happening throughout the College. (See att.7 -0.6 (en9 (tt.7 -4h4 (h)-m.5 ((a)-3.9 (t)

The meeting ended at 3:01 pm.

Respectfully submitted by Cynthia Dewar